

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 24 JAN 2006

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference 12-3054WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/NZ2005/000024	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 February 2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 February 2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC Int. Cl. B62K 21/16 (2006.01)		
Applicant SYROTECH LIMITED et al		

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:
 - a. ☐ (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:
 - ☐ sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).
 - ☐ sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.
 - b. ☐ (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a sequence listing and/or table related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 9 November 2005	Date of completion of this report 16 January 2006
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	Authorized Officer ZBIGNIEW BIELAWSKI Telephone No. (02) 6283 2218

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:

- ☒ The international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ A translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b))
- ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
- ☐ international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (*replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report*):

- ☒ the international application as originally filed/furnished
- ☐ the description:
- | | |
|--------|--|
| pages | as originally filed/furnished |
| pages* | received by this Authority on _____ with the letter of _____ |
| pages* | received by this Authority on _____ with the letter of _____ |
- ☐ the claims:
- | | |
|--------|--|
| pages | as originally filed/furnished |
| pages* | as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 |
| pages* | received by this Authority on _____ with the letter of _____ |
| pages* | received by this Authority on _____ with the letter of _____ |
- ☐ the drawings:
- | | |
|--------|--|
| pages | as originally filed/furnished |
| pages* | received by this Authority on _____ with the letter of _____ |
| pages* | received by this Authority on _____ with the letter of _____ |
- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs _____
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
- ☐ any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*): _____

4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs _____
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
- ☐ any table(s) related to the sequence listing (*specify*): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application

☒ claims Nos: 57-68, 72-80

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos.
relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos.
are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos.
are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☒ no international search report has been established for said claim Nos. 57-68, 72-80

☐ A meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:

☐ Furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ Furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ Pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13^{ter}.1(a) or (b) and 13^{ter}.2.

☐ A meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. ☐ In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
- ☐ restricted the claims
 - ☐ paid additional fees
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
 - ☐ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
 - ☐ neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees
2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
- ☐ complied with.
 - ☒ not complied with for the following reasons:

See Supplemental Box.
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
- ☐ all parts.
 - ☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-56, 69-71

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box IV

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the **International Preliminary Examining Authority** has found that there are different inventions as follows:

1. Claims 1-56 and 69-71 are directed to a steering apparatus for rider control of a vehicle including handlebars adjustable to at least two positions and capable of being transferred between the positions independently. It is considered that the underlined feature comprises a first "special technical feature".
2. Claims 57-61 are directed to a method of attachment of a steering apparatus including two handle bar portions operable between at least two positions including the step of securing the steering apparatus directly to the forks via a steer tube of a bicycle. It is considered that said step of securing the steering apparatus directly to the forks via a steer tube of a bicycle comprises a second "special technical feature".
3. Claims 62-66 are directed to a method of triggering transfer and transferring of a steering apparatus between at least two positions via use of armrests leading to release of the handlebar portions from locked position and enabling the handlebar portions to move from one position to another. It is considered that the use of armrests leading to release of the handlebar portions from locked position and enabling the handlebar portions to move from one position to another comprises a third "special technical feature".
4. Claims 67-68 are directed to a method of transferring of at least one handle bar portion of a steering apparatus between an aero position and a wide position including the steps of wherein one locking/latching means are released and other locking/latching means are actuated and the handlebar portions are directed under pressure to the wide position until the releasable locking means are re-latched. It is considered that said method steps comprises a forth "special technical feature".

Since these groups of claims do not share any of the special technical features identified, a technical relationship between the inventions does not exist. Accordingly the claims do not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept, a priori.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 2-56, 70-71	YES
	Claims 1, 69	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1-56, 69-71	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-56, 69-71	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-56, 69-71

The invention defined in claims 1 and 69 at least is not novel when compared with the following prior art documents that each discloses all the essential features of the invention claimed:

- (a) WO 2000/001572 A1
- (b) US 5144859 A
- (c) US 4023436 A
- (d) US 3863521 A
- (e) US 610469 A
- (f) FR 2816276 A1
- (g) NL 1007578 A.

For example WO 2000/001572 discloses a steering apparatus 71 including handlebars 72 adjustable to at least two positions independently and capable of being fixed in at least one position by the rider independently of the steering function.

Furthermore, remaining appended claims do not contain any additional features which involve an inventive step since these features are either known from the prior art cited or relate to parameters or structures that are merely matters of design choice when the general technical knowledge about the state of the art is used and hence they cannot contribute to patentable invention.